Writing Academic Summaries

What are *academic* summaries?

• In sum, academic summaries are short, un-evaluative <u>analyses</u> of an author's <u>complete argument</u>. It's more than a restatement of the main ideas. We're also interested in the *author* him- or herself, the *claims* he or she makes, and the *ways* he or she works to support those claims. That is, our main task is to tell the story of WHAT the author is *doing* and HOW s/he gets the job done.

What should you address in an academic summary?

- Rhetorical situation (information about the author, audience, text, purpose, and context).
- Main argument
- Main support
- Notable features (optional)
 - o Organization scheme
 - o Strategies/Appeals
 - o Strengths
 - Weaknesses/Limitations

What academic summaries are not:

- Your response to or evaluation of the text (your opinions or feelings about what's good/bad or wrong/right, what's missing, what's important, etc.)
- Your personal reflections of what you learned or how you were affected by the text
- You writing as author of a shorter paraphrased version of the text with no mention of the text (e.g., *Millennials today create new challenges for employers...*).
- Your listing of major claims and concepts (without making meaningful connections)
- Your own argument or analysis as inspired by the text (including your own intro and examples)
- Your explanation of a single example to illustrate major points or of major terms
- Your recitation of important quotes from the text

Extra Tips

- attend to the full arguments/project rather than exemplify the most interesting or the earliest claims
- avoid relying on quotes and aim to paraphrase instead
- attribute ideas to the author (Author VERB; according to AUTHOR; etc.), but try to vary your sentence structure (so that it's not sentence after sentence of "The author x")
- avoid the list format (where you just list chronologically various things the author does)
- be careful that an analysis of strategies doesn't overshadow an overview of the main argument
- balance the says/does: don't just say, "she concludes with some suggestions." Expand on this to indicate what those suggestions are.
- actually name they type/kind of support (evidence and reasons) used
- pay special attention to ensuring your summary is accurate (challenge your own descriptions to ensure they truly represent the rhetorical situation and what the author says and does)
- use strong and relevant verbs to describe what the author does (use charting handout)
- don't rely on summaries online

Summary Checklist

MEETING THE MAJOR REQUIREMENTS

- 1. Does my summary include:
 - All parts of the rhetorical situation: contextual details about the author (background/credentials), his/her text (including title and publication year), the intended audience, and pertinent background information (as in what the text is responding to?
 - A brief and concise explanation of the author's purpose in writing the text? Do I support my discussion of purpose by drawing evidence from the text?
 - A brief and concise explanation of the overall argument made by the author? Do I cover the *full* range of his/her multifaceted argument? Is my summary of his/her argument accurate?
 - An explanation of the overall reasons and/or evidence to support the argument? Do I carefully support my discussion with selective and brief examples from his/her text?
 - A description of the author's organizational scheme (how the text/argument unfolds)?
- 2. Did I introduce and summarize the text in a way that readers unfamiliar with his/her text and our class would sufficiently understand what s/he does in his/her text and how s/he does it?
- 3. How effectively do I remain objective and keep outside information out? Do I keep my opinions/evaluations/outside knowledge/personal responses out of my summary?

EXTRA POLISHING

- 4. Do I give the author's full name once and then only refer to him/her by last name?
- 5. Do I select strong and appropriate verbs (avoiding simple verbs like "says" and "states")? Do I use the present tense when writing about what the author does (she ARGUES, he CLAIMS)?
- 6. Am I careful to put quotation marks around copied passages and to cite page numbers?
- 7. Do I quote enough or quote too much?
 - For short papers like this, quotes should serve a purpose and they shouldn't be longer than 3 lines worth. Limit your quoting. Paraphrase what you can or quote only specific phrases or words. No need to quote every time. Do you put quotation marks around copied text?
- 8. Have I formatted my paper?
 - Name, date, instructor, & course located in the upper-left corner, double spaced
 - Last Name & Page #: located in the upper-right corner on every page
 - No extra spaces between Name Heading & Title
 - Title the text something like, "Summary of AUTHOR'S NAME and TEXT TITLE."
 - No extra spaces between Title and Introductory Paragraph
 - Align Text Left (NOT justified)
 - Every paragraph should be indented. No extra spaces between paragraphs.
 - Articles & chapters are in quotes. Magazines, newspapers, films, & books are italicized.
 - Times New Roman, 12 pt. font, double spaced, 1" margin?